



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

### Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

### About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

Br  
2202  
23.40

Did Charles Bradlaugh  
Bonner - die  
an Atheist? - 1898

WIDENER LIBRARY



HX 12KV I

Br 2202. 23.40



Harvard College Library

BOUGHT FROM GIFTS

OF

FRIENDS OF THE LIBRARY

# **DID CHARLES BRADLAUGH DIE AN ATHEIST ?**

**BY HIS DAUGHTER,**

**HYPATIA BRADLAUGH BONNER.**

**LONDON :**

**A. & H. B. BONNER, 1 & 2 TOOK'S COURT,  
CHANCERY LANE, E.C.**

**1898.**

**PRICE ONE PENNY.**

Bn 2202, 23, 40

HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY

THE GIFT OF

FRIENDS OF THE LIBRARY

Apr 2, 1930

LONDON:

PRINTED BY A. BONNER, 1 & 2 TOOKS COURT,  
CHANCERY LANE, E.C.

*IN* the "Arabian Nights' Entertainment" there is a gruesome tale called "The Story of Sidi Nonman". I read this when I was a small child, and to this day I have a vivid recollection of the sense of sickening horror I then felt. Those of you who have read these stories may remember that Sidi Nonman was a young man of moderate fortunes, married to a wife, Amina, whose manner of eating puzzled and disturbed him. Instead of eating her rice with a spoon, she ate it grain by grain, and even that sparingly and occasionally. Sidi Nonman was convinced there was some mystery, and watching his wife he saw her go out one moonlight night to the burying ground, where she met a ghoul. Amina and her dread companion dug up a dead body, and the ghoul cut off pieces of the flesh, which they ate together by the grave side. The ghouls of to-day are a different order of beings: they no longer feed upon dead men's bodies, it is dead men's reputations they dig up and devour. To right-minded people devouring dead men's reputations is an occupation scarcely less revolting than devouring dead men's flesh.

*H. B. B.*

*March, 1898.*



## DID CHARLES BRADLAUGH DIE AN ATHEIST ?

---

IT is seven years since Death touched my father's eyes with the touch which brings eternal sleep.

It is seven years since we carried him to his last resting place in that quiet, pine-scented city of the dead, where, with its tranquillity ever undisturbed, it lies side by side with the great south-western road, over which, through all the hours of day and night, the trains roll with vibrant scream of warning as they bear their burden of noisy life.

Since that day seven years ago, scarcely a month has passed in which I have not been called upon to take up my pen in my father's behalf. Sometimes he is accused of grossly dishonorable conduct; sometimes people, unable to admit that they themselves have changed, tell how the considerate gentleman they knew in later years had once been a coarse and violent braggart; and sometimes—this is now the most frequent allegation—it is said that before he died my father changed his opinions and became a Christian. It is by no means unusual for persons to make these statements as though of their own personal knowledge, or as communicated to them by some one who had direct personal knowledge. This was the course pursued by such well-known people as Mr. Charles Cooper, editor of the *Scotsman*, the late Sir Isaac Holden, and the Countess Wachtmeister, of whom the last was the only one who had the grace to apologise when attention was drawn to the inaccuracy.

I am not proposing to collect here and refute the various fables which have been started to pander to the credulous during these seven years, but in order to meet the wishes expressed by many of my father's friends in

various parts of the country, I have put together in convenient form extracts from Mr. Bradlaugh's own writings, showing his opinions on theology from the time of his earliest advocacy until within a few days of his death. For the last days of all the word of his attendants must suffice.

The earliest explicit statement that I can find in print of his position as an Atheist occurs in the debate held with Mr. John Bowes at Northampton, in March, 1859, when my father was twenty-six years of age, although, of course, he had expressed his views in his lectures at a much earlier period. In the Bowes debate he said :

" He did not deny that there was ' a God ', because to deny that which was unknown was as absurd as to affirm it. As an Atheist he denied the God of the Bible, of the Koran, of the Vedas, but he could not deny that of which he had no knowledge."

Five years later Mr. Bradlaugh held a debate with Mr. Thomas Cooper, author of "The Purgatory of Suicides", and he then told his audience :

" I do not stand here to prove that there is no God. If I should undertake to prove such a proposition I should deserve the ill words of the oft-quoted Psalmist applied to those who say there is no God. I do not say there is no God, but I am an Atheist without God. To me the word ' God ' conveys no idea, and it is because the word ' God ' to me never expressed a clear and definite conception . . . that I am Atheist. . . . The word ' God ' does not, to my mind, express an eternal, infinite, omnipotent, intelligent, personal conscious being, but is a word without meaning and no effect other than it derives from the passions and prejudices of those who use it."

In June, 1870, in a debate with Mr. Alexander Robertson, he said :

" I am an Atheist, but I do not say there is no God ; and until you tell me what you mean by God I am not mad enough to say anything of the kind. So long as the word ' God ' represents nothing to me, so long as it is a word that is not the correlative and expression of something clear and distinct, I am not going to tilt against what may be nothing-nowhere. Why should I ? If you tell me that by God you mean ' something ' which created the universe, which before the act of creation was not ; ' something ' which has the power of destroying that universe ; ' something ' which rules

and governs it, and which nevertheless is entirely distinct and different in substance from the universe—then I am prepared to deny that any such existence can be."

And later in the same debate (on the following evening) he added :—

"I said last night that the Atheist does not say there is no God, so long as the word simply represents an indefinite quantity or quality—if you don't know what, you don't know where: but I object to the God of Christianity, and absolutely deny it. In all ages men have fashioned their Gods according to their want of knowledge—the more ignorant the people, the more numerous their deities, because the Gods represented their personifications of force. Men beheld phenomena beyond and independent of human ability, and they ascribed these phenomena to deities, the 'God' in each case representing their ignorance."

In a debate with the Rev. A. J. Harrison in the September of the same year Mr. Bradlaugh said :

"The position of the Atheist was that he did not affirm a universe, and outside it a God; but he said, 'By your knowledge of the conditions of existence, so you may shape, and so will be shaped, your thought and your conduct, and that thought and that conduct which tend to the greatest happiness of the greatest number, and to the least injury of any—that thought and that conduct are moral, whatever your religious profession may be.' But that guide to morality was not got out of any system of Theism; it was purely Atheistic—that was, it was found outside God, without God."

In such pamphlets as "Is there a God?" and "A Plea for Atheism" Mr. Bradlaugh has also fully and clearly stated his position as an Atheist.

Having given my father's opinions in his own words as published at different periods of his earlier Freethought advocacy, I will now show his position during the last months of his life. In the *National Reformer* for November 9th, 1890—less than three months before his death—he printed a statement entitled "My Heresy now and Thirty-six Years Since", which I reproduce in its entirety. In October-November, 1889, he had been very ill, lying for some time between life and death. The unscrupulous made this an opportunity to put forward the suggestion that during his illness he had

changed his opinions. It was in consequence of these rumors that he wrote the following explicit declaration :

" From the beginning of 1855, until the beginning of 1868, all my lecturing and writing were done under the name Iconoclast, which name was adopted after I left the 7th Dragoon Guards, because I was then earning a scanty livelihood as a clerk, and lecturing in my own name would have involved my loss of employment. It was in 1855 that I first spoke as a received Freethought advocate, in the old John Street Hall, and in the old Hall of Science. I had previously spoken in various small halls, more frequently in a small hall at the corner of Philpot Street, Commercial Road, than in any other—oftener as a debater than as a lecturer. Philpot Street and a new hall at the corner of Warner Place, Hackney Road, were, in 1849-50, the scenes of my earliest indoor Freethought speaking. My commencements as a public speaker were, before this, on the mounds of earth in the famous Bonner's Fields. At the time of my enlistment in 1850, I was probably still a Theist, but had not very carefully reasoned out my attitude. On revelation I had done much more exact work for and against. In 1849 I had debated the inspiration of the Bible with James Savage, and I have still a MS. book of that year in my library containing an attempted examination of the four gospels in comparative columns. In 1850 I made my appearance in type, in prose and in verse; of the latter, so far as I am aware, no traces remain. I fear that I was hardly a poet.

" The three years break from public advocacy, spent by me in the army, was very useful in compelling me to think out my new views by myself. From 1854 to the present time, whilst I hope there has been improvement in manner of advocacy, there has been, so far as I am conscious, no material change in the propositions advocated. In 1855-6 I was much influenced by the glimpse of the Ethics of Spinoza first presented by George Henry Lewes, and a great deal of my advocacy shows traces of this influence. For thirty-six years my position has been atheistic, and I am totally unaware of any foundation for the rumors, recently very industriously circulated, alleging modification by me of these views. My position has always been that the word 'God' is either undefined, or that the attempted definitions are self-contradictory, or incoherent. In mythic presentations, as in the Vedic hymns, in the Old and New Testaments, in the Greek and Egyptian traditions, there is enough to warrant absolute denial of the possibility of the Indra, the Aleim, the Ieue, the triune Jesus, the Jupiter, the Osiris, except as myth or legend. I am essentially a Monist : to me

-existence is sufficient for all phænomena, and I find it difficult to appreciate the position of those who invent a second existence or plural existences, in lieu of explanation, in order to account for imperfectly comprehended phænomena. I can understand the habit of using the words 'God', 'spirit', 'soul', by those whose training has excluded them from submitting these words to close examination and analysis. I know that the habit-use of particular words involves firm acceptance without criticism, and assertion without evidence, of propositions which appear to me utterly unsustainable. The word 'witch' in the mouth of some Somersetshire peasant, or 'obi' spoken by a negro, would, even to-day, carry with it in each case the affirmation of a mass of superstition. 'Devil' and 'hell' have voluminous meaning in the mouth of Mr. Spurgeon. All this I can appreciate, and I can even try to reconcile decent sincerity with Mr. Booth's parade—as 'promoted from Claxton to Glory'—of his dead wife's body to all comers at so much per head. My Monism excludes the probability alike of 'glory', 'hell', 'devil', 'obi', 'witch', as representing the supernatural. Reacceptance of my Sunday school standpoint seems to me wholly impossible. I cannot understand the healthy mind—which has once analysed, and after analysis rejected, the theologic implications of these words—readopting dualism or pluralism. I, of course, recognise the possible domination of a weak mind, or of a strained physique, by the head of a great Church, by a half-insane fakir, by an Ignatius Loyola, by a Mahdi, by a Joseph Smith, and I further recognise that whilst a mind is not free from dualism or pluralism there are very wide possibilities for conjectural imaginings. It is also certain that men of strong mind and marked character have sometimes fallen easy victims to gracefully assisted illusions. At present, so far as I am concerned, the closest re-examination of my atheistic position does not enable me to detect any weak link in the chain, and I cannot conceive the possibility of my remaining sane and yet joining any of the many conflicting teachers of dualism."

In the month of January 1891, that is to say, the month in which he died, Mr. Bradlaugh contributed two dialogues on "Jesus" to the *National Reformer*. They conclude in this way :

"ORTHODOX CHRISTIAN.—When you die as a believer, you will, if wrong, be no worse off, whilst if you disbelieve, you will be damned.

"SCEPTIC.—Adopting that line of argument, it is safer to believe all religions than to believe none.

"O.C.—It is quite impossible to believe all religions to be true.

"S.—Why is it impossible to believe all religions to be true?"

"O. C.—Many of them are absolute contradictions of others.

"S.—Is it impossible to believe contradictions?

"O. C.—Of course it is.

"S.—But would it not be safer to believe all religions, if you could so believe?

"O. C.—It is not a question of safety in believing. It is one of possibility. I simply cannot equally believe Muhammadanism, Vedism, Buddhism, and Christianity, as divine religions.

"S.—And I answer your earlier question in your own words. It is not a question of safety in believing. It is one of possibility. The alleged life of Jesus is to me impossible. I simply cannot believe it."

We have here then before us Mr. Bradlaugh's opinions given actually in his own words taken from publications issued at different periods between 1859 and 1891. He fell ill on January 13th, 1891, and died on January 30th. The only person to whom he spoke on religious matters during his illness was myself, and then he merely uttered a few words as to the futility of the Design Argument, as exemplified in his own condition and the present state of medical knowledge compared with the sufferings and treatment of the sick in the past, and the possibilities of greater alleviation of pain in the future.

The only persons in attendance on him were the doctors, the nurses, and myself, and of these one and perhaps two were always in the room with him. The nurses came from the Bond Street Institution, and the doctors were Dr. Ramskill, the physician of St. Helen's Place, and Mr. Alfred Bell, M.R.C.S., of St. John's Wood. At my request the two nurses and Mr. Bell each signed statements testifying that in their presence Mr. Bradlaugh had never at any time talked upon religious matters at all. I herewith give the statement signed by Mr. Bell, and written throughout in his handwriting. He gave his testimony quite willingly, at my request, although he thought I was taking an unnecessary precaution; he asked me not to use it unless I felt I ought to, and therefore I have hitherto refrained from publishing it. I do so now, reluctantly,

but I desire to put finally upon record in the clearest manner possible that no change whatever took place in my father's Atheistical opinions.

"I was in constant professional attendance upon Mr. Charles Bradlaugh from Tuesday, Jan. 13, until his death on Friday, Jan. 30, and during that time heard him say no word bearing directly or indirectly upon any religious subject. From Friday, Jan. 23rd, he was only occasionally partially conscious.

"ALFRED J. BELL, M.R.C.S., F.S.A.

"January 31, 1891."

The statements signed by the nurses were to the same effect. It was needless to trouble Dr. Ramskill to give his testimony as he only saw my father for very short periods, and those in company with Mr. Bell.

If my own evidence has any value—and I know not on what grounds it should be discredited—then I affirm in the most emphatic manner possible that there is no foundation whatever for the allegation that on his death-bed my father changed his opinions: opinions which, as is here shown, he held consistently during the greater part of his life. On the 15th of January he was obliged to take to his bed, but until he was suddenly stricken into unconsciousness by hemiplegia on the 23rd, his mind was as clear and as vigorous as it had been at any time in his life. Although he hoped to recover, he knew that his death might come suddenly and at any moment, through the heart spasms which were so terrible a part of his malady. He talked upon family matters and thoroughly explained his financial situation, giving me explicit instructions what to do in the event of his death. He spoke also of the motion to rescind the resolution of the House of Commons, and dictated letters for me to write to Dr. Hunter and other M.P.'s; he spoke of the Vaccination Commission; of General Booth's accounts, on which he had been writing a series of articles; of his book on "Labor and Law", some proofs of which he corrected in bed. Apart from these subjects, in which he was more or less personally interested, he one day talked to me at length concerning the great problem which the United States would sometime have to face in regard

to her prolific colored population ; he had seen this difficulty growing for many years, and had collected considerable information on the question.

It will be seen that just as in his days of health so in these days of sickness my father's mind travelled over a variety of subjects, all of which he spoke upon with his customary clearness and incisiveness. The one subject he did not touch upon—save for the passing words I have already noted—was religion, and it was quite natural that he should not. He had no new information to give me on theology, and there was nothing I wished to ask him. We each knew the opinions of the other, and it was a knowledge of such long standing that it took a very subsidiary place in our thoughts. To the nurses and doctors, who were in a manner bound to listen to him, it would not even have occurred to him to speak upon the matter.

After my father relapsed into unconsciousness, his mind wandered a great deal, but never did he speak a word that had the remotest bearing upon religion. His thoughts seemed mostly happy thoughts, spoken in a cheerful tone and with a smile. The very last words I heard him utter during the night of his death were reminiscent of his voyage to India. Never throughout the whole time did my father by sign or sound indicate that there was the smallest change in his opinions.

I am told that such evidence as the foregoing is not sufficient; if I cannot prove that my father on his death-bed positively renounced Christianity, and affirmed his Atheism, then it is open to Christians to say he espoused it. I suppose it is open to Christians to call white black if they wish to, even in the face of every evidence of whiteness; but that does not blacken the white, it merely blackens the Christian. If honorable men should for a moment accept such an argument, I would remind the Protestant that when death's shadows are darkening his eyes he must find strength to denounce Popery or he admits he is a Papist, the Catholic must abjure Islamism or he proclaims he is a follower of Mohammed, and both must express their dying detestation of Atheism else they shall be known after death as Atheists.

*By CHARLES BRADLAUGH.*

*Demy 8vo. Cloth, 1s.*

## **DOUBTS IN DIALOGUE.**

[Imaginary Discussions, written from time to time for the *National Reformer* during the last few years of Mr. Bradlaugh's life. They "are based upon real doubts, many of them put personally by word of mouth or by letter to Mr. Bradlaugh, or suggested by the reading of certain books".]

**CONTENTS:**—Christian Priest and Unbeliever (2); Christian Priest and Sceptic, on Christnastide; Theist and Atheist: Curate and Doubter; Puzzled Enquirer and D.D.; Respectable Man of the World and Heretic; Missionary and Atheist on Prophecy as Evidence for Christianity (2); Christian Lady and Infidel; Christian Missionary and Sceptic; Theist and Monist; Atheist and Pantheist; Liberal Churchman and Atheist; Disciple of Spencer and Atheist: Orthodox Christian and Sceptic (2).

## **The Impeachment of the House of Brunswick.**

*Tenth Edition. Partly Re-written and Revised.*

*Demy 8vo, 160 pp. Cloth, Uniform, 2s.*

"As a suggestive review of the events of the last century and a half, it has an historic interest: as an indictment of the follies which it seems impossible to separate from a royal dynasty it deserves the study of every English Liberal."—*Westminster Review*.

*Demy 8vo, 282 pp., Price 5s.*

## **"LABOR AND LAW."**

Being the completed portion of the work on which the Author was engaged up to the time of his fatal illness, with several reprinted articles, Two Portraits (taken 1882 and 1890), and a Memoir by J. M. ROBERTSON.

**CONTENTS:** Why the Book was Written. What the Legislature can do; what it has done; what it ought to do. What has been done by Legislation in Foreign Countries, and the Results. Hours of Labor. Employers' Liability Act, 1880. Force or Conciliation in Labor Disputes? Socialism in Europe. A Starved Government Department.

*Demy 8vo, 250 pp., Cloth, Uniform, 5s.*

WITH FINE PHOTO (1890) BY ELLIOTT AND FRY.

## **Collected Speeches of Charles Bradlaugh.**

*2nd (Enlarged) Edition. Annotated by J. M. ROBERTSON.*

**CONTENTS:** Four Speeches at the Bar of the House of Commons; In House of Commons on Market Rights and Tolls, Ditto before Royal Commission, Affirmation Bill, Compulsory Cultivation of Waste Lands, Religious Prosecutions Abolition Bill, Perpetual Pensions, Indian Famine Insurance Fund, and Kashmir; At New York, on Ireland; At Northampton, on India, and on Capital and Labor; At Bombay, to Indian National Congress; Presidential Addresses at Congresses of the National Secular Society, etc.

*Send for Complete Catalogue, gratis.*

A. & H. B. BONNER, 1 & 2 Took's Court, London, E.C.

*3rd Edition. 2 vols. (850 pp.) Demy 8vo, Price 7s. (complete), post free.*

# CHARLES BRADLAUGH :

A RECORD OF HIS LIFE. By his daughter,  
*HYPATIA BRADLAUGH BONNER.*

WITH AN ACCOUNT OF HIS PARLIAMENTARY STRUGGLE, POLITICS,  
AND TEACHING, by J. M. Robertson.

"Mrs. Bonner has really performed a difficult task after a noble fashion, and in a truly pious spirit. Her father's life was a melancholy one, and it became her duty as his biographer to break a silence on painful subjects about which he had preferred to say nothing. His reticence was mainly reticence; though a highly sensitive mortal, he preferred to put up with calumny rather than lay bare family sorrows and shame. His daughter, though compelled to break this silence, has done so in a manner full of dignity and feeling."—*Nineteenth Century* (Review by Mr. AUGUSTINE BIRRELL, Q.C., M.P.).

"As interesting as a novel for the ordinary home reader."—*Reynolds' Weekly Newspaper.*

"A valuable contribution to the political history of our own time."—*Newcastle Weekly Chronicle.*

"A kindly, tender-hearted, generous-spirited, even-tempered man was this redoubtable Freethinker and irrepressible Radical. He stands revealed to us in these pages as a man of strong feeling and of iron will, of inflexible purpose and unshakeable principle, one utterly incapable of anything mean or dis-honorable. . . . A worthy record of a memorable man who, whatever his faults of opinion and errors of judgment, was intellectually head and shoulders above the majority of his critics."—*Glasgow Herald.*

"Eminently worth reading. . . . The portrait of an Englishman of whom his countrymen may be proud."—*Saturday Review.*

"Of permanent value as a contribution to constitutional history."—*Athenaeum.*

"Candour and breadth of view characterise it throughout. Mrs. Bonner conceals nothing essential to a full insight into Mr. Bradlaugh's character and career; and she has the judgment and skill to arrange her facts in due proportion."—*Academy.*

"A story of which every Christian apologist ought to be ashamed. . . . No Christian supported by his faith ever showed a more remarkable courage in face of misfortune. . . . Mr. Robertson tells the story of his great Parliamentary battle on the oaths question with great spirit, and does no more than justice to his remarkable abilities."—*Westminster Gazette.*

"His daughter's biography is his not unfitting literary monument."—*Daily News.*

"Of the most intensely interesting character, exhibits no little skill in arranging details around the main facts. . . . particularly full with regard to the incidents of his parliamentary career. . . . Mrs. Bonner inherits much of her father's literary ability and power of forcible argument. Great taste is manifested in not trenching on any debatable religious topics, so that the most sensitive on these matters will not be offended. On its merits the book must be regarded as one of the most interesting of the season."—*Liberal.*

"Deeply interesting record of the life of a most remarkable man. . . . Has not only successfully defended her father's memory, but has reared to that memory a worthy monument."—*Daily Chronicle.*

"Convincing and lasting picture of a really remarkable man."—*Pall Mall Gazette.*

"It is difficult to see how the story could have been better told. As far as the book covers events of which we are cognisant, we can speak confidently of its accuracy; and from that portion we may fairly judge the rest. An able and wholly admirable picture. . . . and a very complete historical survey of the great struggle."—*Northampton Guardian.*

"Written with wonderful good taste and restraint. . . . Mr. J. M. Robertson's portion of the book, we need hardly say, is ably done, and may very well serve as the basis of any future discussions."—*Bradford Observer.*

"Invaluable as the permanent memorial of a chapter in our constitutional progress. A worthy biography of one to whom even his erstwhile opponents can hardly now deny the title of a great Englishman."—*Birmingham Post.*

"Mr. Robertson has contributed a brilliant and thoughtful account of his political and philosophical work."—*The New Weekly* (Manchester).

"Mr. Robertson's part of the book shows nothing less than genius as a polemist and critic. His careful and accurate relation of the Parliamentary struggle is an invaluable contribution to history."—*Halifax Courier.*

---

London : A. & H. B. BONNER, 1 & 2 Took's Court, Chancery Lane.

# THOMAS PAINE'S CHIEF WORKS.

---

AN ENTIRELY NEW AND UNABRIDGED EDITION.

EDITED BY MRS. H. BRADLAUGH BONNER.

334 pp., Crown 8vo, cloth, 3/6.

## Rights of Man. (COMPLETE.)

*With Biographical and Critical Introduction by John M. Robertson.*

[The Editor has added several pages of omitted matter (paragraphs and footnotes) and corrected many errors which have appeared in previous editions; and the present will be found the most accurate and the best-printed edition issued since Paine's death at a popular price.]

"May be accepted as standard and may be taken to supplant all others . . . the first accurate yet popular edition."—*Progressive Review*. [Noticing the two books.]

"A feature of the volume is Mr. Robertson's exhaustive biographical sketch and commentary on the life, works, and period of the protagonist of modern democratic Freethought. The book has been turned out of hand in capital style, and will make a valuable addition to one's library."—*Western Mercury*.

"A literary venture of an important and interesting kind. Mr. Robertson has written an extremely readable introduction . . . the editor appears to have performed her task with the greatest possible care. The volume is very tastefully printed and bound."—*Dundee Courier*.

"The book is one of historical importance. Paine was a pioneer in many paths, and to his advocacy of the abolition of slavery and duelling and of the establishment of international arbitration may be added his plans for a scheme of old-age pensions."—*Manchester Guardian*.

"The editor has done everything in her power to secure a pure text. . . . Gives a good account of Paine's eventful career, and a sufficiently generous estimate of his position as a poetical and religious thinker, and of his share in the creation of American democracy."—*Glasgow Herald*.

300 pp., Crown 8vo, uniform, 3/6.

## The Age of Reason.

*With Historical Introduction by the Editor.*

"The result of her labor is most satisfactory. . . . As in the case of the 'Rights of Man', the passages included in indictments of the book have been indicated."—*Weekly Times & Echo*.

"We have nothing to offer but unqualified praise of this edition of Paine."—*Literary Guide*.

"It is a masterly work, and will be certain to cause a renewed interest in the manuscripts of one of the world's greatest thinkers. It is prefaced by clever historical and explanatory pages from the pen of the editor."—*Western Daily Mercury*.

"Excellent edition. . . . This is a book which everyone should read. The fact that it has retained its interest and popularity for over a century is a striking testimonial to its merits. Paine was a great man, and though dead his intellect and spirit are still moving the world."—*Reynold's*.

London: A & H B. BONNER, 1 & 2 Took's Court, Chancery Lane.

# The Reformer.

A JOURNAL FOR LIBERAL AND PROGRESSIVE  
THINKERS AND WORKERS.

Published on the 15th of each month.

Advocates absolute Freedom of Thought and its rational expression, and deals, from an enlightened standpoint, with the various questions relating to Religion, Ethics, Politics, Sociology, Science, Literature, etc. A high standard of literary excellence is aimed at.

Among the Contributors are the following:—

JOHN M. ROBERTSON.	HYPATIA BRADLAUGH BONNER.
DR. MONCURE D. CONWAY.	HARRIETTE E. COLENSO.
EDWARD CARPENTER.	CHRISTABEL MASSEY.
J. A. HOBSON, M.A.	MARY REED.
ALFRED MILNES, M.A.	A. WERNER.
H. S. SALT.	"D" (of <i>National Reformer</i> ).
J. T. GREIN.	MACROBIUS.
W. F. REVELL.	CHILPERIC EDWARDS.
HODGSON PRATT.	ERNEST NEWMAN.
FELIX VOLKHOVSKY.	ARTHUR LYNCH.
G. H. PERRIS.	J. P. GILMOUR.
J. C. SWINBURNE-HANHAM.	R. CROMIE.
LIEUT.-COL. C. T. BINGHAM.	CYPRIAN COPE.
W. MARTIN WOOD.	LAURENCE SMALL, B.Sc.
LEOPOLD KATSCHER.	GEOFFREY MORTIMER.
F. J. GOULD.	G. STANDRING.

No. 1 issued March 15th, 1897.

**Price . 3d. (Postage 1d.)**

Subscription Rates, post free: 1 year, 35. 6d.; 6 months, 18. 10d.

Subscriptions can date from No. 1 if desired. Contents of published numbers on application.

A. & H. BRADLAUGH BONNER,  
1 & 2 TOOK'S COURT, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON, E.C.

1115

This book should be returned  
the Library on or before the last  
stamped below.

A fine of five cents a day is incu-  
by retaining it beyond the spec-  
time.

Please return promptly.

~~DUE MAR 30 70~~

